

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,521	02/27/2004	Josef Chalupper	P04,0054	6889	
26574 SCHIFF HAR	7590 05/01/2008 DIN LLP		EXAMINER		
PATENT DEF	PARTMENT	MONIKANG.	MONIKANG, GEORGE C		
6600 SEARS CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/788,521	CHALUPPER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GEORGE C. MONIKANG	2615		

	GEORGE C. MONIKANG	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.					
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office ther may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application in bett application. 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			

13. Other: _____.
/Vivian Chin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2615

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).